

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	A	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>92</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>92.83</div> %	<div>91.16</div> %	<div>28</div>	<div>92.07</div> %	<div>49</div>	<div>56</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>92.53</div> %	<div>83.89</div> %	<div>7</div>	<div>84.14</div> %	<div>90</div>	<div>88</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>0.33</div>	<div>5.84</div>	<div>78</div>	<div>4.79</div>	<div>2</div>	<div>7</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>77.56</div> %	<div>75.05</div> %	<div>53</div>	<div>81.45</div> %	<div>29</div>	<div>21</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>99.15</div> %	<div>69.01</div> %	<div>11</div>	<div>69.74</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>95</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>90.77</div> %	<div>79.06</div> %	<div>24</div>	<div>80.97</div> %	<div>83</div>	<div>71</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>70.14</div> %	<div>40.24</div> %	<div>12</div>	<div>45.50</div> %	<div>97</div>	<div>87</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>98.28</div> %	<div>93.41</div> %	<div>44</div>	<div>95.55</div> %	<div>53</div>	<div>41</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State:	<div>21</div> / <div>92</div>	Nationally:	<div>71</div> <div>66</div>	
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$17,595</div>	<div>\$35,004</div>		<div>\$26,831</div>	<div>2,676</div> Units	<div>67.60</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$106,119</div>	<div>\$20,947</div>		<div>\$15,029</div>	<div>60</div> Units	<div>1.50</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$31,268</div>	<div>\$27,511</div>		<div>\$20,806</div>	<div>369</div> Units	<div>9.30</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$5,496</div>	<div>\$2,735</div>		<div>\$3,228</div>	<div>851</div> Units	<div>21.50</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (214 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (283 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Long Beach CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$23,872	\$292,102	\$31,268
State:*	\$135,492	\$114,689	\$28,606
National:**	\$96,090	\$75,663	\$23,585

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.04

	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %		Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
RACE:					HOUSEHOLD TYPE:				
White:	11.1	28.1	44.7	23.4	Single/Non-Elderly:	17.8	31.6	9.8	51.8
Black/African American:	30.8	14.0	25.7	53.2	Elderly:	7.2	1.8	19.5	10.6
Asian:	2.4	10.5	2.2	2.1	Related/Single Parent:	30.3	19.3	16.5	21.3
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.5	0.0	1.1	0.7	Related/Two Parent:	36.8	35.1	53.4	11.3
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	1.8	0.3	0.7	Other:	7.1	10.5	0.8	5.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.2	1.8	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	8.7	0.0	2.7	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	45.7	40.4	23.0	19.9					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:				
1 Person:	21.2	28.1	27.1	48.9	Section 8:	7.6	0.0	#	
2 Persons:	22.7	24.6	19.8	18.4	HOME TBRA:	1.9			
3 Persons:	20.5	10.5	17.1	14.2	Other:	6.3			
4 Persons:	19.0	12.3	18.2	9.9	No Assistance:	84.2			
5 Persons:	11.3	10.5	9.2	4.3					
6 Persons:	3.6	10.5	6.2	2.8					
7 Persons:	1.0	0.0	1.6	0.7					
8 or more Persons:	0.5	1.8	0.8	0.7					
					# of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001				0

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Long Beach State: CA Group Rank: 71
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 21 / 92 PJs Overall Rank: 66
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 75.25%	77.56	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 50.43%	99.15	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	90.77	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 91.30%	98.28	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 3.340	1.2	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

